as in the said Replication is alledged, But the said Roger saith that As to five Thousand two Hunda and Seventy Nine Pounds of Tobacco Part of the said Sixteen Thousand Six Hundred and Eighty three Pounds of Tobacco he the said Francis Afterwards and before the Impetration of the Writt aforesaid by the said Attorney Gen¹¹ for the Said Lord Propry against the said Roger Mathews Vizt: the Ninth Day of June [844] In the Year of Our Lord One thousand Seven hundred and Twenty Six in Baltemore Coty aforesaid Did Pay and Satisfie Unto the Said Thomas Bordley in his Lifetime the said Quantity of five thousand two Hundred and Seventy Nine Pounds of Tobacco And as to the quantity of Eleven thousand four hundred and four pounds of Tobacco the remaining part of the Said Sixteen thousand Six hundred and Eighty three Pounds of Tobacco he the said Francis Holland Did before the impetration and Exhibition of the Writt afsd by the said Attorney Genit agt the said Roger Mathews as aforesd Vizt: on the first Day of July in the Year of Our Lord One thousand Seven Hunda and twenty Six in Baltemore County afsd offer unto the Said Thos Bordley in his Lifetime the said Eleven thousand four Hundred and four Pounds of Tobacco the remaining Part of the said Sixteen thousand Six Hundred and Eighty three Pounds of Tobacco According to the form and Effect of the Condition afores^a Without that, that he the said Francis Holland Did assume Upon himself And to the said Thos Bordley Did promise in Manner and form as the said attorney Gen¹¹ by his Replication afs^d hath Alledged to pay to the said Thomas Bordlev the said Sixteen thousand Six Hundred and Eighty three pounds of Tobacco And this he the said Roger is also ready to verifie Wherefore he prays Judgment as before And that the Said Attorney Gen" on behalf of the Lord Propry the Action afsd Against him the Said Roger from having may be Precluded etc:

And the Same attorney Gen¹⁸ Saith that the Afs^d Plea by the Afs^d Roger Above by rejoynder Pleaded and the Matter in the same Contained is not Sufficient in Law the Same Attorney Gen¹⁸ from the Action Afs^d to Preclude, And that he to that Plea in Manner and form afs^d Pleaded hath no need nor by the Law of the Land is held to Answer And this he is ready to Verifie Wherefore as before Prays Judgment for the Debt afores^d together with the Damages Occasioned by the Detention of that Debt to be to him the said Lord Propry Adjudged.

And the afs^d Roger Mathews for that he hath Above in his Rejoynder pleaded alledged Sufficient matter in Law to Barr the afs^d attorney Genⁿ for the said Lord Propry from having his Action Afs^d against him the said Roger Mathews which he is ready to verifie which matter the Afs^d attorney Genⁿ hath not Gainsayed nor thereunto in Anywise Answered But the same to Admitt for true hath Altogether Refused as before Prays Judgment and that the Said Attorney Genⁿ from his Action Afores^d for the said Lord Propry Ag^t him the said Roger Mathews May be Barred etc: